arXiv:1603.02053v2 [math-ph] 14 May 2016

The Heun operator as a Hamiltonian

Alexander V. Turbiner*

Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,

Apartado Postal 70-543, 04510 México, D.F., Mexico

Abstract

It is shown that the celebrated Heun operator $H_e = -(a_0x^3+a_1x^2+a_2x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+(b_0x^2+b_1x+b_2)\frac{d}{dx}+c_0x$ is the Hamiltonian of the $sl(2,R)$-quantum Euler-Arnold top of spin ν in a constant magnetic field. For $a_0 \neq 0$ it is canonically-equivalent to $BC_1(A_1)-$ Calogero-Moser-Sutherland quantum models, if $a_0 = 0$, ten known one-dimensional quasi-exactly-solvable problems are reproduced, and if, in addition, $b_0 = c_0 = 0$, then four well-known one-dimensional quantal exactly-solvable problems are reproduced. If spin ν of the top takes (half)-integer value the Hamiltonian possesses a finite-dimensional invariant subspace and a number of polynomial eigenfunctions occurs. Discrete systems on uniform and exponential lattices are introduced which are canonically-equivalent to one described by the Heun operator.

*Electronic address: turbiner@nucleares.unam.mx

I. THE HEUN OPERATOR

Needless to say that the Heun operator $P_3\partial_x^2 + P_2\partial_x + P_1$ plays exceptionally important role in different physical sciences and mathematics, see e.g. the recent papers [1] and [2], and some references therein, respectively. It is characterized by four regular singular points and has the form

$$H_e \;=\; -(a_0x^3 + a_1x^2 + a_2x)\partial_x^2 + (b_0x^2 + b_1x + b_2)\partial_x + c_0x \;, \quad \partial_x \equiv \frac{d}{dx} \;, \tag{1}$$

it depends on 7 free parameters $(a_{0,1,2}, b_{0,1,2}, c_0)$: we do not fix normalization (overall factor) but choose the reference point for x in such a way that the coefficient P_3 in front of the second derivative vanishes at $x = 0$; for a sake of future convenience, we do *not* factorize the coefficient $(a_0x^2 + a_1x + a_2)x$ further to a product of monomials. The operator (1) is defined up to additive constant c_1 - it is the reference point for spectral parameter and coincides with the accessory parameter in the Heun equation.

In the basis of monomials the operator H_e has a form of tridiagonal matrix. Note that the celebrated Heun equation [3] (see for the general discussion e.g. [4]) and also [5], [1], [2]

$$\frac{1}{P_3}\,(H_e - c_1)\,u(x) \;=\; 0 \;,$$

occurs, where c_1 is the accessory parameter.

II. THE EULER-ARNOLD QUANTUM TOP

The Hamiltonian of the $sl(2,R)$-quantum Euler-Arnold top of spin ν in a constant magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ is given by

$$H \;=\; t^{+0}J_+J_0 + t^{+-}J_+J_- + t^{00}J_0J_0 + t^{0-}J_0J_- + B^+J_+ + B^0J_0 + B^-J_- \;, \tag{2}$$

where $t^{+0}, t^{+-}, t^{00}, t^{0-}$ and B^+, B^0, B^- are constants. Here J's span the $sl(2,R)$-Lie algebra: they obey the $sl(2,R)$ commutation relations: making linear transformations the general $sl(2,R)$ quantum top in a constant magnetic field can be reduced to the form (2). It is evident that this top is integrable: the second Casimir operator C_2 is the integral, it always commutes with H. The top (2) can be constrained by imposing a condition that C_2 is a constant,

$$C_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}\{J_+\,,\,J_-\} - J_0J_0 \;=\; -\nu(\nu+1) \;, \tag{3}$$

where $\{J_+\,,\,J_-\} \equiv J_+J_- + J_-J_+$ denotes the anti-commutator. Here $t^{\alpha,\beta}$ with $\alpha,\beta = \pm,0$ defines the tensor of inertia, $\mathbf{B} = (B^+, B^0, B^-)$ defines a magnetic field. Thus, the Hamiltonian (2) with constraint (3) depends on 7 free parameters as well as the operator (1).

III. $sl(2,R)$-LIE ALGEBRA

The $sl(2,R)$-algebra can be naturally spanned by differential operators, by difference (shift) operators and by difference (dilation) operators.

A. $sl(2,R)$-Lie algebra and differential operators

In first order differential operators the $sl(2,R)$-Lie algebra is realized as follows,

$$J_- \;=\; \partial_x \quad , \quad J_0 \;=\; x\partial_x - \nu \;,\; J_+ \;=\; x(x\partial_x - 2\nu) \;, \tag{4}$$

where the parameter ν is spin. It is easy to see that in representation (4) the Casimir operator C_2 is the constant, see (3), thus, the constraint is fulfilled.

By substituting (4) into (2) we get the Heun operator (1), hence, $H = H_e$. In particular,

$$-\,a_0 = t^{+0} \;,\; b_0 = t^{+0}(1-3\nu) + B^+ \;,\; c_0 = 2\nu(\nu t^{+0} - B^+) \;. \tag{5}$$

These parameters satisfy a remarkable condition

$$-\,2\nu(2\nu-1)a_0 + 2\nu b_0 + c_0 \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{6}$$

which implies that for any a_0, b_0, c_0 one can find ν such that this condition is fulfilled. This ν has a meaning of the $sl(2,R)$ spin of representation. One can reparameterize (1) by replacing

$$c_0 \;=\; 2\nu(2\nu-1)a_0 - 2\nu b_0 \;,$$

to have the condition (6) fulfilled automatically. It is evident that the Heun operator acts in infinite-dimensional space of monomials $\{\ldots x^{-n+\nu}, \ldots x^{-1+\nu}, x^\nu\}$.

B. $sl(2,R)$-Lie algebra and difference (shift) operators

Let us introduce the shift operator,

$$T_\delta f(x) \;=\; f(x+\delta) \quad , \quad T_\delta = e^{\delta\partial_x} \;,$$

and construct a canonical pair of shift operators (see e.g. [6])

$$D_\delta \ = \ \frac{T_\delta - 1}{\delta} \ , \ x_\delta \ = \ xT_{-\delta} = x(1 - \delta D_{-\delta}) \ , \tag{7}$$

where the operator D_δ,

$$D_\delta f(x) = \frac{f(x+\delta) - f(x)}{\delta} \ ,$$

is the so-called Norlund derivative. It is easy to check that $[D_\delta, x_\delta] = 1$, hence, forming the canonical pair.

In first order difference (shift) operators the $sl(2,R)$-Lie algebra is realized as follows,

$$J_- \ = \ D_\delta \quad , \quad J_0 \ = \ x_\delta D_\delta - \nu \ , \ J_+ \ = \ x_\delta (x_\delta D_\delta - 2\nu) \ , \tag{8}$$

where the parameter ν is spin, while δ is arbitrary parameter. It is easy to see that in representation (8) the Casimir operator C_2 is the constant, see (3), it does not depend on δ, thus, the constraint is fulfilled. By substituting (8) into (2) we get the finite-difference (shift) Heun operator

$$H_e^{(s)} \ = \ -(a_0 x_\delta^3 + a_1 x_\delta^2 + a_2 x_\delta) D_\delta^2 + (b_0 x_\delta^2 + b_1 x_\delta + b_2) D_\delta + c_0 x_\delta \ , \tag{9}$$

cf. (1). This operator acts on uniform lattice space with spacing δ

$$\{\ldots, \ x - 2\delta \ , \ x - \delta \ , \ x \ , \ x + \delta \ , \ x + 2\delta \ , \ \ldots\}$$

marked by $x \in \mathbf{R}$ - a position of a central (or reference) point of the lattice. Explicitly, it is five-point lattice operator,

$$H_e^{(s)} f(x) \ = \ A_{-3}(x) f(x-3\delta) + A_{-2}(x) f(x-2\delta) + A_{-1}(x) f(x-\delta) + A_0(x) f(x) + A_1(x) f(x+\delta) \ ,$$

where A's are polynomials. In the limit $\delta \to 0$, the operator $H_e^{(s)}$ becomes the Heun operator H_e (1).

C. $sl(2,R)$-Lie algebra and difference (dilation) operators

Let us introduce the dilation operator,

$$T_q \, f(x) \ = \ f(qx) \quad , \quad T_q = q^A \ , \ A \equiv x \, \partial_x \ ,$$

and construct a canonical pair of dilation operators [7]

$$D_q \;=\; x^{-1}\frac{T_q-1}{q-1}\;,\; x_q \;=\; \frac{A(q-1)}{T_q-1}\,x\;, \tag{10}$$

where $x_q\,D_q = A$ and $D_q\,x_q = \partial_x\,x = A+1$. The operator D_q is the so-called Jackson symbol (or the Jackson derivative). Both operators $x_q\;,\;D_q$ are pseudodifferential operators with action on monomials as follows,

$$D_q x^n = \{n\}_q\,x^{n-1}\;,\; x_q x^n \;=\; \frac{n+1}{\{n+1\}_q}\,x^{n+1}\;,$$

where $\{n\}_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$ is the so called q-number.

In first order difference (dilation) operators the $sl(2,R)$-Lie algebra is realized in the following way,

$$J_- \;=\; D_q \quad,\quad J_0 \;=\; x\partial_x - \nu\;,\; J_+ \;=\; x_q(x\partial_x - 2\nu)\;, \tag{11}$$

cf.(4), where the parameter ν is spin. It is easy to see that in representation (11) the Casimir operator C_2 is the constant, see (3), it does not depend on q, thus, the constraint is fulfilled. By substituting (11) into (2) we get the finite-difference (dilation) Heun operator

$$H_e^{(d)} \;=\; -(a_0x_q^3 + a_1x_q^2 + a_2x_q)D_q^2 + (b_0x_q^2 + b_1x_q + b_2)D_q + c_0x_q\;, \tag{12}$$

cf. (1), (9). In fact, it is the differential-difference operator

$$H_e^{(d)} \;=\; -(a_0x_q^2x\partial_x + a_1x_qx\partial_x + a_2x\partial_x)D_q + (b_0x_qx\partial_x + b_1x\partial_x + b_2D_q) + c_0x_q\;,$$

acting on exponential lattice $\{xq^n\}\;,\; n=0,1,2,\ldots$ with reference point marked by $x\in\mathbf{R}$.

IV. PROPERTIES

- Operators $H_e, H_e^{(s)}, H_e^{(d)}$ are canonically-equivalent, see (7), (10);
- if $2\nu = n$ is integer, the Heun operator $H_e(H_e^{(s)}, H_e^{(d)})$ (1) ((9), (12)) has $(n+1)$-dimensional invariant subspace in polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n$, hence, $[H_e, \partial_x^{n+1}] : \mathcal{P}_n \to \{0\}$ and $\partial_x^{n+1} = (J_-)^{n+1}$ is particular integral [8], also $[H_e^{(s,d)}, (J_-)^{n+1}] : \mathcal{P}_n \to \{0\}$, see (8), (11);

- "Polynomial" isospectrality. Let us assume the Heun operator $H_e(x)$ has a formal polynomial eigenfunction,

$$\phi(x) \ = \ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k x^k \ ,$$

with eigenvalue ϵ, then the discrete (shift) Heun operator $H_e^{(s)}(x)$ has a formal polynomial eigenfunction

$$\phi_\delta(x) \ = \ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k x^{(k)} \ ,$$

where $x^{(k+1)} \equiv x(x-\delta)\dots(x-k\delta)$ is the Pochhammer symbol or *quasi-monomial*, with eigenvalue ϵ and the discrete (dilation) Heun operator $H_e^{(d)}(x)$ has a formal polynomial eigenfunction

$$\phi_q(x) \ = \ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k \frac{k!}{\{k\}_q!} x^k \ ,$$

where $k! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots k$ is factorial and $\{k\}_q! = \{1\}_q \cdot \{2\}_q \cdot \dots \{k\}_q$ is the so-called q-factorial, with eigenvalue ϵ;

- making canonical (gauge rotation) transformation

$$\partial_x \to \partial_x + \mathcal{A} \ \equiv \ e^{-\phi} \partial_x e^{\phi} \ , \ x \to x \ , \tag{13}$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \phi'(x)$, the Heun operator (1) can be transformed to the Schrödinger operator with rational potential

$$H_e(x) = -\Delta_g(x) + V(x) \ , \ V(x) \ = \ Bx + \frac{Q_2(x)}{P_3(x)} \ , \tag{14}$$

where $\Delta_g(x)$ is one-dimensional Laplace-Beltrami operator with contravariant metric $g^{11} = P_3$, $B = \frac{3}{16}a_0 + 23b_0 + c_0 + \frac{b_0^2}{4a_0}$ is the parameter, Q_2 is a 2nd degree polynomial. Then making a change of variables - another canonical transformation,

$$\partial_x \to \frac{1}{x'_\tau} \partial_\tau \ , \ x \to \tau(x) \ , \tag{15}$$

where $(x'_\tau)^2 = a_0 x^3 + a_1 x^2 + a_2 x$, we arrive at the Schrödinger operator in the Cartesian coordinate τ,

$$H_e(\tau) = -\partial_\tau^2 + V(x(\tau)) \ , \ V(x(\tau)) \ = \ Bx(\tau) + \frac{Q_2(x(\tau))}{P_3(x(\tau))} \ , \tag{16}$$

- "Canonical" covariance. Take $(x-\alpha)^\mu$ as the gauge factor, where α is root of the cubic equation $P_3(\alpha)=0$. For any parameters $\{a,b,c\}$ one can indicate μ such that the gauge-rotated Heun operator remains the Heun operator,

$$(x-\alpha)^{-\mu}\; H_e(x;\{a,b,c\})\; (x-\alpha)^{\mu} \;=\; H_e(x;\{a,\tilde{b},\tilde{c}\})\;.$$

  For example, for zero root, $\alpha=0$, the exponent $\mu=1+\frac{b_2}{a_2}$. For the Lame operator $\mu=\frac{1}{2}$ for any root α

- if $a_0\neq 0$,

  (i) H_e with additive constant c_1 is factorizable in the $sl(2,R)$-algebra

$$H_e+c_1=T_aT_b\;,$$

  where $T_{a,b}=\alpha_{a,b}J_+ +\beta_{a,b}J_0+\gamma_{a,b}J_- +D_{a,b}$ with $\alpha_b=0$ and $c_1=D_aD_b$;
  (ii) H_e is canonically-equivalent to the BC_1 elliptic Inozemtsev Hamiltonian [9] (see [10]), to the $BC_1(A_1)$ elliptic Calogero-Moser-Sutherland Hamiltonian (see e.g. [11]) written in Cartesian coordinate τ; if in (1), $P_2=-P_3'/2$, H_e coincides with the Lame operator.

Example: *BC_1 elliptic Calogero-Moser-Sutherland model.*

Take the Heun operator

$$h_{BC_1}(x)\;=$$

$$4(x^3-3\,\lambda x^2\;+\;3\delta x)\partial_x^2\;+\;6(1+2\mu)(x^2-2\lambda x+\delta)\partial_x\;-\;2n(2n+1+6\mu)(x-\lambda)\;, \quad (17)$$

where λ,δ,μ,n are parameters; here $a_0=-4$, $b_0=6(1+2\mu)$, $c_0=-\,2n(2n+1+6\mu)$, c.f. (1) and $n=2\nu$, c.f. (6). In space of monomials $x^k,\;k=0,1,2,\ldots$ the operator $h_{BC_1}(x)$ has the form of tri-diagonal, Jacobi matrix. In terms of $sl(2,R)$-generators (4), it reads

$$h_{BC_1}\;=\;4\;J^+(n)\,J^0(n)\;-\;12\lambda J^0(n)\,J^0(n)\;+\;12\delta\;J^0(n)\,J^-$$

$$+\;2\,(4n+1+6\mu)\,J^+(n)\;-\;12\,\lambda(n+2\mu)J^0(n)\;+\;6\,\delta\,(n+1+2\mu)\,J^-\;+\;\lambda n(n+2)\;. \quad (18)$$

Making the gauge rotation of (17),

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm BC_1}\;=\;-\frac{1}{2}\,(\Psi_0)\,h_{BC_1}\,\Psi_0^{-1}\;,\;\Psi_0\;=\;[\;x^3-3\,\lambda x^2\;+\;3\delta x\;]^{\frac{\mu}{2}}\;,$$

and changing $x \to \tau$,

$$(x'_\tau)^2 = 4(x^3 - 3\,\lambda x^2 \ + \ 3\delta x) \ ,$$

we arrive at BC_1 elliptic Calogero-Moser-Sutherland Hamiltonian [12],

$$\mathcal{H}_B \ = \ -\frac{1}{2}\,\partial_\tau^2 \ + \ 2\mu(\mu-1)\ \wp(2\tau) \ + \ (2n+1+2\mu)(n+2\mu)\ \wp(\tau) \ , \qquad (19)$$

where $\wp(\tau) \equiv \wp(\tau|g_2, g_3)$ is the Weierstrass function with its invariants parametrized as follows,

$$g_2 = 12(\lambda^2 - \delta) \ , \qquad g_3 = 4\lambda(2\lambda^2 - 3\delta) \ .$$

Here λ, δ are parameters and $e = -\lambda$ is the root of the $\wp-$Weierstrass function, $\wp'(-\lambda) = 0$. In such a parametrization the variable x has the form

$$x \ = \ \wp(\tau|g_2, g_3) + \lambda \ .$$

- if $a_0 = 0$, but $b_0 > 0$, all known ten families of QES Hamiltonians written in Cartesian coordinate τ are reproduced [13–15].

- if $a_0 = 0$, and $b_0 = c_0 = 0$, the Riemann (hypergeometrical) operator occurs, thus, all known quantal exactly-solvable problems (the Harmonic oscillator, the Morse and the Pöschl-Teller potentials, the Coulomb problem) are reproduced when written in the Cartesian coordinate τ .

V. CLASSICAL LIMIT

The classical limit appears when in the top Hamiltonian (2) and constraint (3) the generators J's span the $sl(2,R)$ Poisson algebra, thus, the Lie bracket is replaced by the Poisson bracket. In this case we have the classical $sl(2,R)$ top in a constant magnetic field. Hence, the Hamiltonian is the polynomial in coordinate and momentum of the third and second degrees, respectively. Following the Igor Krichever suggestion we call this procedure *de-quantization*. Classical version of the Hamiltonian (14) based on de-quantization, $\partial_x \to ip, x \to q$, is characterized by rational potential,

$$\mathcal{H}_e(q,p) \ = \ P_3(q)\,p^2 \ + \ B\,x \ + \ \frac{Q_2(q)}{P_3(q)} \ , \qquad (20)$$

cf. (14), where p is the classical momentum. Classical trajectories (in phase space), $\mathcal{H}_e = E$, are algebraic curves.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this Letter we show that the Heun operator is the Hamiltonian of the $sl(2,R)$-(non-compact) Lie algebra, quantum Euler-Arnold top in a constant magnetic field. It reveals the links on the level of quantum canonical equivalence between three different quantum systems: tops, (generalized) Calogero-Moser-Sutherland systems and discrete systems, all in one dimension. It implies that in the Fock space (Universal Enveloping Heisenberg Algebra U_{H_3} with vacuum attached) formalism all three systems are described by a single polynomial in H_3 generators. There are clear indications that a similar links exist in multidimensional case involving A_n, BC_n, D_n quantum Calogero-Moser-Sutherland models and $sl(n+1,R)$-algebra quantum Euler-Arnold top, G_2 quantum Calogero-Moser-Sutherland model and $g^{(2)}$-polynomial algebra, quantum top (see [16] for A_2/G_2 case), and also other Calogero-Moser-Sutherland models and polynomial algebra, quantum tops. These links will be described elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

The research is supported in part by DGAPA grant IN108815 (Mexico). The author thanks A Abanov (Stony Brook), A Eremenko (Purdue), N Nekrasov (Stony Brook) and, especially, S P Novikov (Maryland) for useful conversations. I am also grateful to S P Novikov for the encouragement to write the extended Conclusions.

The author gratefully acknowledges support from the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, Stony Brook University at which some of the research for this paper was performed.

[1] F.A. Grünbaum, L. Vinet, A. Zhedanov, *Tridiagonalization and the Heun equation*, arXiv:1602.04840 [math-ph]

[2] A. Eremenko, A. Gabrielov, *Spherical rectangles*, arXiv:1601.04060 [math.CV]

[3] K. Heun, *Zur Theorie der Riemannschen Functionen zweiter Ordnung mit vier Verzweigungspunkten*, *Math.Ann.* **33** (1889) 161-179.

[4] A. Ronveaux (Ed.), *Heun's Differential Equations*, Oxford University Press, Oxford - New York, 1995

[5] R.S. Maier, *On reducing the Heun equation to the hypergeometric equation*, *Journ. Differential Equations* **213** (2005) 171-203;
The 192 solutions of the Heun equation, *Math.Comp.* **76** (2007), 811–843

[6] Yu.F. Smirnov and A.V. Turbiner, *Lie-algebraic discretization of differential equations*, *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A10**, 1795–1802 (1995), *ibid* **A10**, 3139 (1995) (erratum)

[7] C. Chryssomalakos and A.V. Turbiner, *Canonical Commutation Relation Preserving Maps*, *Journ.Phys.* **A34**, 10475-10483 (2001)

[8] A.V. Turbiner, *Particular Integrability and (Quasi)-exact-solvability*, *Journ.Phys.* **A45** (2013) 025203 (9pp)

[9] V.I. Inozemtsev, *Lax Representation with spectral parameter on a Torus with integrable particle system*, *Letters in Math. Phys.* **17** (1989) 11-17

[10] K. Takemura, *Quasi-exact-solvability of Inozemtsev models*, *Journ.Phys.* **A35** (2002) 8867-8881

[11] M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, *Quantum integrable systems related to Lie algebras*, *Phys.Repts.* **94** (1983) 313-393

[12] A.V. Turbiner, *The BC_1 Elliptic model: algebraic forms, hidden algebra $sl(2)$, polynomial eigenfunctions*, *Journ.Phys.* **A48** (2015) 095205 (8pp)

[13] A.V. Turbiner, *Quantum Mechanics: the Problems Lying between Exactly-Solvable and Non-Solvable*, *Soviet Phys. - ZhETF* **94**, 33-45 (1988);
JETP **67**, 230-236 (1988) (English Translation);
One-Dimensional Quasi-Exactly Solvable Schrödinger Equations, *Physics Reports* (invited contribution, submitted)
arXiv: 1603.02992, pp.136 (March 2016)

[14] A.V. Turbiner, *Quasi-Exactly-Solvable Problems and the $sl(2,R)$ Algebra*, *Comm.Math.Phys.* **118**, 467-474 (1988)

[15] A.V. Turbiner, *Lie algebras and polynomials in one variable*,
Journ.Phys. **A25** (1992), L107-L109

[16] V.V. Sokolov and A.V. Turbiner, *Quasi-exact-solvability of the A_2/G_2 Elliptic model: algebraic forms, $sl(3)/g^{(2)}$ hidden algebra, polynomial eigenfunctions*,
Journ.Phys. **A48** (2015) 155201 (15pp);
Corrigendum on: Quasi-exact-solvability of the A_2/G_2 Elliptic model: algebraic forms, $sl(3)/g^{(2)}$ hidden algebra, polynomial eigenfunctions,
Journ.Phys. **A48** (2015) 359501 (2pp)